

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENT	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,352	07/31/2002	Fritz Wilhelm	21986	7078
535	7590 07/16/20	••		
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS 5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900 RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900		E	EXAMINER	
		¥71-0900	ACQUA	ACQUAH, SAMUEL A
	,,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	-	10/070,352	WILHELM ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	
2a) □	— .	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> on of Claims	nce except for formal matters in	prosecution as to the ments is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	on Papers			
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept			
—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
48) 🗆 🗕	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1	I. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17 2/a\\		
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi	sional application has been rec	eived	
ttachment(s				
Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.		n Cumman.	Part of Damer No. 44	

Application/Control Number: 10/070,352

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,277,947 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 1 in the application herein is only different from claim 1 of the patent in that it does not recite the further limitation as embodied in step (g) regarding vacuum generation; however, that limitation is claimed in claim 10 of the patent. The limitations of all the dependent claims are all claimed in the patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Other references listed on PTO-1449 have been made part of the record.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,352

Art Unit: 1711

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 703-308-2436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

S.A.A. July 12, 2003

SAMUEL A. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1229 1700 Page 3